

2019-20 Adjudication Forms (Blank) - Academics **Ballot for Persuasive Speaking** All areas on this ballot are of equal weight.



School									Division _
Contestant Persuasive Speaking									 Date _
Title									Time _
Round Room Speaker #									
JUDGI	ES: After writ			se give each a nt 2 - Average				the following	g scale:
Criteria for Evaluation						Judge's Re	marks		Criterion Ranking
Introduction: Did the speaker get your attention and introduce the subject in an interesting manner? Did it apply to the rest of the speech?									
Organization: Were the ideas carefully selected and set forth in the speech?									
Analysis and Content: Did the speaker analyze the topic adequately? Did the content show that the speaker has adequate knowledge of the subject? Was the content relevant to the topic?									
Supporting Materials: Did the speaker have adequate supporting materials to support his/her assertions? Was the evidence pertinent and logically set forth?									
Presentation: Was the speaker's speech devoid of dialectical errors, mannerisms, etc. that call attention to themselves rather than communicate the ideas presented? Did the speaker's bodily action contribute to his/her skill of communication?									
Style: Did the speaker exhibit command of informal conversation style, rather than stilted or formal usage? Was the language communicative rather than "memorized"?									
Perfo	ormance Pla	icing:	F	Please circle one. Not		owed. <u>Judges o</u> ule violations at			nces.
1st	2nd	3rd	4th	5th	6th	7th	8th	9th	10th